International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Biotechnology, Pharmacy, Dental and Medical Sciences (IJMRBPDMS)

8Deep Learning in Oncology: Applications in Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Personalized Treatment

Dr. Rahul Srivastava[1], Dr. Komal Desai[2], Mr. Ajay Kuldeep[3], Dr. Divya Menon[4], Mrs. Bhavana Rao[5]

[1]Professor Department of Pharmaceutics, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lucknow, India rahulsrivastava.research@gmail.com
[2]Professor Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lucknow, India drkomaldesai@gmail.com
[3]Associate Professor Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lucknow, India ajaykuldeep.pharma@gmail.com
[4]Assistant Professor Department of Pharmacy Practice, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lucknow, India divyamenon.phd@gmail.com
[5]Professor Department of Pharmacognosy, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Lucknow, India bhavanarao.lab@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background:
Cancer remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, accounting for millions of new diagnoses and deaths each year. The remarkable biological heterogeneity of malignant tumors presents substantial challenges for accurate diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic decision-making, and long-term disease monitoring. Conventional oncology relies on histopathology, radiological imaging, molecular diagnostics, and clinical evaluation; however, the growing complexity and volume of cancer-related data often exceed the capabilities of traditional analytical methods. Deep learning, a rapidly evolving branch of artificial intelligence, has emerged as a transformative technology capable of extracting high-dimensional patterns from complex biomedical datasets with minimal manual feature engineering. Convolutional neural networks, recurrent neural networks, graph neural networks, vision transformers, and hybrid deep learning architectures have demonstrated outstanding performance across multiple oncology applications, including tumor detection, image segmentation, cancer classification, survival prediction, genomic analysis, treatment response assessment, drug discovery, and precision medicine. These models enable integration of multimodal information derived from digital pathology, radiological imaging, genomic sequencing, transcriptomics, proteomics, and electronic health records to facilitate personalized therapeutic strategies. Despite their promising clinical utility, challenges related to interpretability, data heterogeneity, algorithmic bias, computational requirements, and regulatory approval continue to impede widespread clinical implementation. This review comprehensively discusses the principles, architectures, clinical applications, advantages, limitations, and future directions of deep learning in oncology, emphasizing its growing role in advancing precision cancer care and improving patient outcomes through intelligent data-driven decision support.

Keywords: *Deep learning, Oncology, Artificial intelligence, Precision medicine, Digital pathology, Medical imaging, Radiomics, Cancer diagnosis, Personalized treatment, Prognosis.*

Article Info
Received: 05 June 2026, received in revised form: 15 June 2026, accepted: 20 June 2026, available online:
30 June 2026; Volume: 2; Issue: 6; Pages: 39-47.

Citation: Srivastava, R., 2026. 8Deep Learning in Oncology: Applications in Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Personalized Treatment. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Biotechnology, Pharmacy, Dental and Medical Sciences (IJMRBPDMS)* 2(6): 39-47.
DOI:

Introduction

Cancer is a multifactorial disease characterized by uncontrolled cellular proliferation, genomic instability, immune evasion, metabolic reprogramming, and progressive alterations within the tumor microenvironment. The remarkable heterogeneity observed among cancer types and even within individual tumors significantly complicates diagnosis, therapeutic planning, prognostic evaluation, and long-term disease management [1].

Advances in molecular biology have considerably improved understanding of cancer pathogenesis through identification of oncogenic mutations, epigenetic modifications, signaling pathway dysregulation, and immune interactions. Nevertheless, translating these discoveries into individualized clinical care remains challenging because modern oncology generates enormous volumes of heterogeneous data derived from histopathology, radiology, genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, laboratory investigations, and electronic health records. Efficient interpretation of these diverse datasets exceeds the capabilities of conventional statistical approaches and manual clinical analysis [2].

Artificial intelligence has increasingly become an essential component of computational oncology by enabling automated extraction of clinically meaningful information from complex biomedical data. Among various AI methodologies, deep learning has demonstrated exceptional capability for identifying subtle nonlinear relationships that are often undetectable using traditional machine learning techniques. Unlike conventional algorithms that rely on handcrafted features, deep learning models automatically learn hierarchical feature representations directly from raw data, enabling improved accuracy and scalability across diverse clinical applications [3].

Recent developments in graphics processing units (GPUs), cloud computing, large annotated biomedical datasets, and sophisticated neural network architectures have accelerated the adoption of deep learning in oncology. These computational advances have enabled rapid progress in digital pathology, radiology, molecular diagnostics, treatment response prediction, and precision medicine. Deep learning algorithms now assist clinicians in detecting malignant lesions, grading tumors, predicting patient survival, identifying genomic alterations, and recommending individualized therapeutic strategies [4].

One of the most significant strengths of deep learning lies in its ability to integrate multimodal biomedical information. Modern oncology increasingly requires simultaneous analysis of pathology slides, radiological images, molecular profiles, laboratory biomarkers, and clinical documentation. Deep learning facilitates this integration by learning complementary representations across multiple data modalities, thereby improving diagnostic precision and therapeutic decision-making [5].

The growing application of deep learning has also transformed cancer research. Computational models now support biomarker discovery, virtual drug screening, clinical trial optimization, tumor evolution modeling, and identification of novel therapeutic targets. These capabilities have accelerated translational oncology by bridging laboratory discoveries with clinical implementation [6].

This review provides a comprehensive overview of deep learning in oncology, discussing its computational foundations, major neural network architectures, current clinical applications, challenges, and future opportunities in precision cancer medicine.

Evolution of Deep Learning in Oncology

The application of computational methods in oncology has evolved dramatically over the past several decades. Early computer-assisted diagnostic systems primarily relied on statistical models and rule-based expert systems designed to analyze relatively small structured datasets. Although these approaches demonstrated modest success in predicting cancer risk and classifying tumors, they were unable to capture the complex nonlinear biological relationships that characterize malignant diseases [7].

The emergence of traditional machine learning marked an important advancement in computational oncology. Algorithms including support vector machines, decision trees, random forests, k-nearest neighbors, and logistic regression enabled automated classification of cancer datasets using manually engineered features extracted from imaging studies, molecular assays, and clinical variables. While these methods improved predictive accuracy, their dependence on handcrafted features limited adaptability across different cancer types and clinical environments [8].

The introduction of deep learning fundamentally changed computational oncology by allowing neural networks to learn hierarchical feature representations directly from raw biomedical data. Convolutional neural networks became particularly influential because of their ability to recognize complex visual patterns in pathology slides, radiological scans, and microscopic images. These models rapidly achieved diagnostic performance comparable to experienced clinicians in several imaging tasks [9].

Simultaneously, improvements in hardware acceleration, particularly GPUs and tensor processing units (TPUs), enabled efficient training of increasingly complex neural networks using millions of medical images and genomic sequences. Public repositories such as The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA), and numerous institutional databases further accelerated algorithm development by providing large-scale annotated datasets for supervised learning [10].

As oncology datasets became increasingly multimodal, newer neural network architectures were introduced to process sequential, graph-based, and heterogeneous biological information. Recurrent neural networks improved analysis of longitudinal clinical data, graph neural networks enabled modeling of molecular interaction networks, and transformer-based architectures facilitated integration of multimodal biomedical information across pathology, radiology, and genomics [11].

Deep learning has now evolved beyond image classification toward comprehensive precision oncology platforms capable of integrating diverse biological information for diagnosis, prognosis, therapeutic planning, and clinical decision support. These advances have positioned deep learning as one of the most influential technologies shaping the future of cancer medicine [12].

Fundamentals of Deep Learning

Deep learning is a specialized branch of artificial intelligence that employs multilayer artificial neural networks to automatically learn complex representations from large datasets. Unlike traditional machine learning algorithms that depend heavily on manually selected input features, deep learning models progressively extract increasingly abstract information through multiple hidden computational layers [13].

Artificial neural networks are inspired by the structure and function of biological neurons. Each artificial neuron receives weighted inputs, applies nonlinear activation functions, and transmits processed information to subsequent network layers. During training, the network continuously adjusts its parameters using optimization algorithms that minimize prediction errors across the dataset [14].

The learning process generally involves forward propagation, loss computation, backpropagation, and parameter optimization. During forward propagation, input data are passed through successive neural network layers to generate predictions. A loss function quantifies the discrepancy between predicted and actual outcomes, while backpropagation computes gradients that guide parameter updates. Optimization algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent and Adam iteratively improve model performance through repeated training cycles [15].

Activation functions introduce nonlinearity into neural networks, allowing them to model highly complex biological relationships. Common activation functions include the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU), sigmoid, hyperbolic tangent, and softmax functions. Selection of appropriate activation functions depends on network architecture and clinical application [16].

Deep learning models require extensive datasets for effective training because millions of parameters must be optimized simultaneously. Regularization techniques including dropout, batch normalization, early stopping, and data augmentation help reduce overfitting while improving generalizability across independent clinical datasets [17].

Transfer learning has become particularly valuable in oncology because labeled biomedical datasets remain relatively limited. Instead of training neural networks entirely from scratch, pretrained models developed using large natural image databases can be fine-tuned on medical datasets, substantially reducing computational requirements while improving predictive performance [18].

The combination of hierarchical representation learning, automated feature extraction, and scalable optimization enables deep learning to outperform many conventional computational approaches across numerous oncology applications.

Major Deep Learning Architectures Used in Oncology

Several neural network architectures have been developed to address the diverse computational challenges encountered in cancer diagnosis and treatment. Each architecture possesses unique strengths that make it suitable for specific biomedical applications [19].

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) remain the most widely used architecture in oncology imaging. CNNs utilize convolutional filters to identify Autoencoders represent unsupervised neural networks capable of compressing high-dimensional biomedical data into informative latent representations before reconstructing the original input. These architectures are widely employed for dimensionality reduction, denoising medical images, anomaly detection, feature extraction, and biomarker discovery. Variational autoencoders have further expanded their applications by generating synthetic biomedical data that improve model robustness and facilitate data augmentation in rare cancer types [22].

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have become increasingly important in oncology because they generate realistic synthetic medical images that can supplement limited datasets while preserving clinically relevant characteristics. GANs consist of two competing neural networks—a generator that creates synthetic images and a discriminator that distinguishes generated images from authentic data. This adversarial learning process produces highly realistic pathology slides, radiological scans, and microscopy images useful for algorithm training, image enhancement, domain adaptation, and data harmonization across institutions [23].

Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) have emerged as powerful tools for modeling biological systems represented as interconnected networks. In oncology, GNNs are increasingly applied to protein-protein interaction networks, gene regulatory pathways, signaling cascades, metabolic networks, drug-target interactions, and tumor microenvironment analyses. Their ability to capture relationships between biological entities provides valuable insights into tumor evolution, biomarker identification, and therapeutic target discovery [24].

Vision Transformers (ViTs) represent one of the newest advances in deep learning for medical imaging. Unlike convolutional neural networks that process local image regions through convolutional filters, Vision Transformers divide images into smaller patches and analyze them using self-attention mechanisms. This architecture enables the model to capture both local and global contextual information, making it particularly suitable for gigapixel whole-slide pathology images and complex radiological examinations [25].

Hybrid deep learning architectures combine multiple neural network types to leverage their complementary strengths. For example, CNNs may extract spatial features from pathology images while recurrent networks analyze temporal clinical information and transformer modules integrate multimodal datasets. These hybrid systems have demonstrated superior performance in comprehensive oncology decision-support platforms that simultaneously analyze imaging, genomics, laboratory data, and clinical narratives [26].

The rapid evolution of these architectures continues to expand the capabilities of deep learning in cancer research and clinical practice. Selection of an appropriate neural network depends on data characteristics, computational resources, and the intended clinical application. Increasingly, integrated architectures are replacing single-model approaches to improve diagnostic accuracy, predictive performance, and generalizability across diverse patient populations [27].

Deep Learning in Digital Pathology

Digital pathology has become one of the most transformative applications of deep learning in modern oncology. The widespread adoption of whole-slide imaging technologies has enabled glass histopathology slides to be digitized at extremely high resolutions, creating comprehensive digital representations of tissue architecture that can be analyzed computationally. These high-resolution images contain enormous amounts of biological information regarding tumor morphology, cellular organization, stromal composition, immune infiltration, vascular remodeling, and tissue heterogeneity [28].

Traditionally, pathological diagnosis has depended on expert visual examination under light microscopy. Although highly effective, this process is labor-intensive and subject to interobserver variability, particularly when evaluating borderline lesions or grading complex malignancies. Deep learning algorithms provide objective and reproducible analyses by automatically identifying histomorphological features associated with specific tumor types, grades, and molecular characteristics [29].

Convolutional neural networks have demonstrated remarkable success in detecting malignant tissue across numerous cancer types, including breast, lung, prostate, colorectal, liver, skin, gastric, cervical, and brain cancers. These models accurately distinguish malignant from benign tissues while simultaneously identifying subtle architectural abnormalities that may not be readily apparent during routine microscopic evaluation [30].

Tumor grading represents another important application of deep learning in pathology. Automated systems can quantify nuclear atypia, mitotic activity, glandular architecture, necrosis, and cellular pleomorphism to assign standardized histological grades with high consistency. Such algorithms improve diagnostic reproducibility while reducing observer-dependent variability, thereby supporting more accurate treatment planning and prognostic assessment [31].

Deep learning has also significantly advanced tumor segmentation within whole-slide images. Precise delineation of tumor boundaries is essential for evaluating surgical margins, estimating tumor burden, measuring invasion depth, and assessing residual disease following therapy. Advanced segmentation networks such as U-Net and its variants enable accurate identification of tumor regions while preserving complex tissue architecture, thereby supporting quantitative pathological assessment [32].

Beyond conventional morphology, deep learning models increasingly predict molecular alterations directly from histopathological images. Recent studies have demonstrated that neural networks can infer clinically important biomarkers such as EGFR mutations, KRAS mutations, BRAF mutations, HER2 amplification, microsatellite instability, IDH mutation status, and PD-L1 expression using only digitized tissue slides. These predictive capabilities may reduce dependence on expensive molecular assays while accelerating personalized therapeutic decision-making [33].

Deep learning has further improved evaluation of the tumor microenvironment by quantifying immune cell infiltration, stromal remodeling, angiogenesis, fibrosis, and spatial interactions between malignant and non-malignant cells. Such analyses provide valuable prognostic information and assist in identifying patients who are more likely to respond to immunotherapy and targeted treatments [34].

Multiple-instance learning has become particularly valuable for analyzing whole-slide pathology images because these datasets often exceed several gigapixels in size. Rather than processing the entire image simultaneously, multiple-instance learning aggregates information from numerous smaller image patches into comprehensive slide-level predictions. This approach substantially improves computational efficiency while maintaining excellent diagnostic performance [35].

The integration of deep learning into digital pathology workflows has enhanced diagnostic accuracy, improved workflow efficiency, facilitated quantitative tissue analysis, and expanded opportunities for precision oncology. As computational pathology continues to mature, deep learning is expected to become an indispensable component of routine histopathological practice, supporting pathologists in delivering faster, more objective, and biologically informed cancer diagnoses [36]

6. Deep Learning in Radiology and Medical Imaging

Medical imaging plays a central role in modern oncology by supporting cancer screening, diagnosis, staging, treatment planning, therapeutic monitoring, and post-treatment surveillance. Advances in computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), mammography, ultrasound, and hybrid imaging technologies have substantially increased the amount of imaging data generated in routine clinical practice. Deep learning has transformed oncologic imaging by enabling automated image interpretation, lesion detection, segmentation, characterization, and outcome prediction with remarkable accuracy [37].

Convolutional neural networks have demonstrated exceptional performance in detecting primary tumors and metastatic lesions across multiple imaging modalities. These models identify subtle imaging abnormalities that may be overlooked during routine visual assessment, thereby facilitating earlier diagnosis and improving treatment outcomes. Applications include detection of pulmonary nodules in lung cancer screening, breast lesion identification in mammography, liver tumor localization in CT imaging, brain tumor detection in MRI, and prostate cancer assessment using multiparametric MRI [38].

Accurate tumor segmentation is fundamental for radiation therapy planning, surgical navigation, and longitudinal disease monitoring. Manual segmentation is time-consuming and susceptible to interobserver variability. Deep learning-based segmentation models, particularly U-Net and attention-based architectures, automatically delineate tumor boundaries with high precision. These models significantly reduce clinical workload while improving consistency in treatment planning and disease evaluation [39].

Radiomics has emerged as an important discipline that extracts quantitative imaging biomarkers reflecting tumor phenotype, heterogeneity, vascularity, texture, and morphology. Traditional radiomics depends on handcrafted feature extraction, whereas deep learning automatically learns high-dimensional imaging representations directly from raw images. These learned features often outperform conventional radiomic descriptors in predicting tumor aggressiveness, treatment response, and patient survival [40].

Deep learning has also facilitated radiogenomics, an emerging field that integrates imaging characteristics with molecular and genetic information. Neural networks can predict genomic alterations, gene expression patterns, and molecular subtypes directly from radiological images. Such non-invasive approaches provide valuable insights into tumor biology while reducing the need for repeated tissue biopsies. Radiogenomic prediction has shown promise in glioblastoma, non-small cell lung cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma [41].

Longitudinal imaging analysis represents another major application of deep learning. Sequential imaging studies acquired before, during, and after treatment allow neural networks to monitor tumor progression, evaluate therapeutic response, detect recurrence, and identify treatment-related complications. These predictive models support adaptive treatment planning and facilitate personalized oncology care through continuous monitoring of disease evolution [42].

The integration of deep learning into radiology has significantly improved diagnostic accuracy, accelerated image interpretation, reduced observer variability, and enhanced precision medicine by providing objective quantitative assessments of tumor biology.

7. Deep Learning in Cancer Genomics and Multi-Omics Analysis

Cancer is fundamentally a genomic disease characterized by the accumulation of genetic mutations, chromosomal instability, epigenetic alterations, and dysregulated signaling pathways. Advances in next-generation sequencing technologies have generated enormous amounts of genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, and epigenomic data. Deep learning provides powerful computational tools capable of extracting biologically meaningful patterns from these high-dimensional datasets to improve cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic stratification [43].

Genomic deep learning models analyze DNA mutations, copy number variations, structural rearrangements, and gene expression profiles to identify molecular signatures associated with tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis. These computational approaches enable accurate classification of molecular subtypes while supporting personalized treatment selection based on individual genomic characteristics [44].

Transcriptomic analysis has become increasingly important for understanding tumor biology. Deep neural networks analyze RNA sequencing datasets to identify dysregulated genes, signaling pathways, and transcriptional programs associated with disease progression and treatment resistance. These models also predict patient prognosis by recognizing complex gene expression signatures that may not be apparent through conventional statistical analysis [45].

Proteomics and metabolomics provide additional layers of biological information that complement genomic analysis. Deep learning integrates protein abundance profiles, metabolic pathways, and post-translational modifications to identify biomarkers associated with therapeutic response and disease progression. These multidimensional analyses contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of cancer biology and facilitate precision oncology [46].

Single-cell sequencing technologies have further expanded the application of deep learning by enabling analysis of individual cellular populations within heterogeneous tumors. Neural networks identify distinct cellular subpopulations, characterize clonal evolution, investigate mechanisms of therapeutic resistance, and examine interactions between malignant cells and the tumor microenvironment. Such analyses provide unprecedented insight into intratumoral heterogeneity and disease evolution [47].

Multi-omics integration has become one of the most promising areas of computational oncology. Deep learning models simultaneously analyze genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, epigenomic, and clinical datasets to generate unified molecular representations of individual patients. This holistic approach improves biomarker discovery, prognostic prediction, and therapeutic decision-making by capturing complex interactions among multiple biological systems [48].

The integration of deep learning with multi-omics technologies continues to accelerate precision oncology by enabling biologically informed, patient-specific treatment strategies that extend beyond conventional single-modality analyses.

8. Deep Learning in Personalized Cancer Treatment

Personalized oncology aims to deliver the most effective treatment for each individual patient by considering tumor biology, molecular characteristics, clinical features, and predicted therapeutic response. Deep learning has become an essential technology supporting precision medicine through individualized risk assessment, treatment recommendation, toxicity prediction, and outcome forecasting [49].

Deep learning algorithms analyze diverse patient information, including pathology images, radiological scans, genomic profiles, laboratory biomarkers, demographic characteristics, and electronic health records to identify optimal therapeutic strategies. By integrating these heterogeneous data sources, neural networks provide individualized predictions that support evidence-based clinical decision-making [50].

Prediction of treatment response represents one of the most clinically valuable applications of deep learning. Models have demonstrated high accuracy in forecasting responses to chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, hormone therapy, and radiation therapy. These predictions enable clinicians to select the most appropriate treatment while avoiding ineffective therapies and unnecessary toxicities [51].

Survival prediction has also benefited substantially from deep learning. Neural networks integrate multimodal clinical and molecular variables to estimate overall survival, disease-free survival, progression-free survival, recurrence risk, and treatment-related mortality. Such prognostic models assist physicians in counseling patients, planning follow-up schedules, and selecting individualized treatment intensities [52].

Drug discovery and drug repurposing have become increasingly dependent on deep learning methodologies. Neural networks analyze molecular structures, biological pathways, protein interactions, and pharmacological databases to identify promising therapeutic compounds and predict drug-target interactions. These computational approaches accelerate oncology drug development while reducing research costs and timelines [53].

Clinical decision support systems powered by deep learning increasingly assist multidisciplinary oncology teams by synthesizing large volumes of biomedical information into clinically actionable recommendations. These systems improve workflow efficiency, facilitate evidence-based practice, support clinical trial matching, and enhance treatment consistency across healthcare institutions [54].

Despite these advances, personalized treatment models require rigorous prospective validation before widespread clinical implementation. Future systems are expected to incorporate continuously updated patient data, wearable health monitoring devices, and adaptive learning algorithms capable of refining treatment recommendations throughout the course of cancer management [55].

9. Challenges, Future Perspectives, Discussion, and Conclusion

Although deep learning has demonstrated remarkable success in oncology, several important challenges continue to limit its widespread clinical adoption. High-quality annotated datasets remain limited for many rare cancers, while variations in imaging protocols, sequencing platforms, and institutional practices reduce model generalizability. Algorithmic bias may also affect predictive performance among underrepresented patient populations, emphasizing the importance of developing diverse and representative training datasets [56].

Interpretability remains another significant challenge. Many deep learning models function as "black boxes," making it difficult for clinicians to understand the reasoning behind individual predictions. Explainable artificial intelligence techniques, including saliency maps, attention visualization, SHAP analysis, and feature attribution methods, are increasingly being incorporated to improve transparency, clinician trust, and regulatory acceptance [57].

Future oncology systems will likely integrate multimodal deep learning with digital pathology, radiology, genomics, liquid biopsy, wearable biosensors, and real-time clinical monitoring. Federated learning, privacy-preserving computation, and cloud-based collaborative platforms are expected to facilitate large-scale model development while maintaining patient confidentiality. Advances in transformer architectures, self-supervised learning, and foundation models will further improve scalability, adaptability, and clinical performance across diverse oncology applications [58].

Overall, deep learning is transforming nearly every aspect of cancer care, from early detection and diagnosis to molecular characterization, prognostic prediction, therapeutic planning, and long-term patient monitoring. The integration of advanced neural network architectures with multimodal biomedical data has significantly enhanced precision oncology and created new opportunities for individualized cancer management.

In conclusion, deep learning represents one of the most significant technological advances in modern oncology. Its ability to automatically learn complex biological patterns from imaging, molecular, and clinical datasets has substantially improved diagnostic accuracy, prognostic assessment, biomarker discovery, and personalized treatment selection. Although important challenges involving interpretability, data quality, computational infrastructure, and regulatory validation remain, ongoing advances in computational medicine are expected to further accelerate clinical adoption. As deep learning continues to evolve alongside precision medicine, it is poised to become an indispensable component of future oncology practice, enabling more accurate, efficient, and patient-centered cancer care worldwide [59,60].

References

1. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. Cancer Discov. 2022;12(1):31–46. Doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059.
2. Rajendran OK. Clinical Translation of Artificial Intelligence in Oncology: Real-World Validation, Workflow Integration, and Precision Medicine Applications. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(49s):956-964. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.49s.110.
3. Singhal K, Azizi S, Tu T, et al. Large language models encode clinical knowledge. Nature. 2023;620(7972):172–180. Doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06291-2.
4. Rajendran LKK. Impact of Treatment Modalities on Fertility, Sexual Function, and Psychological Outcomes in Testicular Cancer Survivors: A Comprehensive Review. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(30s):447-453. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.30s.43.
5. Xu H, Usuyama N, Bagga J, et al. A whole-slide foundation model for digital pathology from real-world data. Nature. 2024;630(8015):181–188. Doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07441-w.
6. Rajendran OK. Bias, Fairness, and Ethical Challenges in Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Review of Causes, Impacts, and Mitigation Strategies. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(2.1):13001-13010. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20374091.
7. Kumar RMH. Childhood-Oncology Intelligence for Predicting Cancer Emergence, Optimizing Precision Therapies, and Simulating Lifelong Outcomes in Pediatric Malignancies Using Autonomous Foundation Models. Int J Drug Deliv Technol.2026;16(65s):162-173.DOI:10.25258/ijddt.16.65s.19
8. Moor M, Banerjee O, Abad ZSH, et al. Foundation models for generalist medical artificial intelligence. Nature. 2023;616(7956):259–265. Doi:10.1038/s41586-023-05881-4.
9. Rajendran LKK. Hematological Malignancy Identification via K-means based ROI Extraction. International Journal of Clinical Research in Medical Sciences. 2026;1(2):1-10. Doi:10.67231/kt1w3e73.
10. Maradi Hemanth Kumar R. Dynamic Digital Twins in Oncology: Foundation AI Models for Real-Time Predictive and Personalized Cancer Care. Power System Protection and Control. 2025;53(4):549-563. Doi:10.46121/pspc.53.4.38.
11. Kumar RMH. Pan-System Cancer Intelligence: Integrating Blood, Immune, Microbiome, and Tumor Microenvironment Data Using Foundation Models. Power System Protection and Control. 2023;51(4):92-100. Doi:10.46121/pspc.51.4.8.
12. Rajendran LKK. Identifying Determinants of Outcome in Post-Radiotherapy Cervical Carcinoma Requiring Adjuvant Surgery. International Journal of Clinical Research in Medical Sciences. 2026;1(2):1-10. Doi:10.67231/3acej759.
13. Maradi Hemanth Kumar R. AI-Driven Liquid Biopsy Systems for Early Cancer Detection and Personalized Oncology. Power System Protection and Control. 2023;51(4):66-83. Doi:10.46121/pspc.51.4.7.
14. Rajendran LKK. Machine Learning–Driven Symptom-Based Cancer Risk Stratification:A Systematic Review of Clinical Prediction Models and Methodological Rigor. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(40s):242-253.Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.40s.26.
15. Kumar RMH. Maternal–Fetal Oncology Intelligence: Self-Evolving Foundation Models and Digital Twin Ecosystems for Precision Cancer Prediction, Treatment Optimization, and Maternal–Fetal Outcome Forecasting. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(65s):174-185. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.65s.20
16. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):44–56. Doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7.
17. Kumar RMH. Precision Oncofertility: Integrating Genomics, Reproductive Biomarkers, Treatment Toxicity Profiles, and Foundation Models for Fertility Preservation in Men and Women with Cancer. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(65s):186-200. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.65s.21
18. Rajendran LKK. Interpretable Machine Learning for Early Mortality Prediction in Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Decision Tree–Based Retrospective Cohort Study. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(40s):231-241.Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.40s.25.

19. Kumar RMH. Self-Evolving Digital Twin Ecosystems for Early Detection and Precision Management of Breast, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancers. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(65s):201-212. DOI: 10.25258/ijddt.16.65s.22
20. Esteva A, Robicquet A, Ramsundar B, et al. A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):24–29. Doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z.
21. Rajendran OK. Generative AI for Synthetic Medical Image Generation in Oncology: Addressing Data Scarcity in AI-Driven Cancer Diagnosis. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(49s):1010-1016. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.49s.117.
22. Rajendran LKK. Integrated Prognostic Modeling of Tumor Stage, Multimodal Therapy, and Functional Status in Lung Cancer Survival: A Real-World Cohort Study. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(5):567-576. Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.20738481.
23. Bommasani R, Hudson DA, Adeli E, et al. On the opportunities and risks of foundation models. arXiv. 2021. Doi:10.48550/arXiv.2108.07258.
24. Rajendran LKK. Integrative Pharmacogenomic Analysis of Drug Response Heterogeneity Across Cancer Cell Lines: Insights From Large-Scale GDSC Data. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(4):7537-7546. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20767386.
25. Acs B, Rantalainen M, Hartman J. Artificial intelligence as the next step towards precision pathology. J Intern Med. 2020;288(1):62–81. Doi:10.1111/joim.13030.
26. Rajendran OK. Tumor Microenvironment Interaction-Guided Graph Neural Networks for Survival Prediction from Whole-Slide Pathology Images. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(49s):481-488. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.49s.50.
27. Rajendran LKK. Evaluating the Association of Cancer-Related Risk Factors With Multisystem Health: Insights Into Fertility, Cardiovascular, and Renal Indicators. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(4):7520-7527.Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20767374.
28. Rajendran LKK. From Prediction to Precision: An Externally Validated Deep Learning–Based Survival and Adjuvant Therapy Recommendation System for Resected Stage III Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(30s):430-438.doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.30s.41.
29. Kumar RMH. Multimodal foundation AI models in precision oncology: Integrating radiomics, pathomics, multi-omics, and clinical intelligence systems. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(4):189-204. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.4.16.
30. Rajendran LKK. From Prediction to Practice: A Machine Learning–Based Clinical Decision Support Tool for Bevacizumab Risk Stratification in Oncology. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(30s):414-429. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.30s.40.
31. Rajendran OK. Self-supervised multimodal Learning for early cancer detection across Imaging and genomics. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(4):167-178. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.4.14.
32. Rajendran OK. Explainable AI-Driven Clinical. Decision Support Systems in Precision Oncology:Interpretable Models for Multimodal Cancer Care. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(2.1):12359-12369. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20328194.
33. Rajendran LKK. Cancer nanomedicine: utilizing the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect to deliver high payloads of chemotherapeutic agents directly to tumor sites. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(2):123-129. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.2.12.
34. Kather JN, Calderaro J. Development of AI in digital pathology. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2020;17(10):591–595. Doi:10.1038/s41571-020-00431-0.
35. Rajendran OK. AI-based radiogenomic Models for predicting immunotherapy response In solid tumors. Power System Protection and Control. 2023;51(4):24-37. Doi:10.46121/pspc.51.4.4.
36. Rajendran LKK. Enhanced Predictive Analytics for Early Malignancy Discovery in Routine Screening. International Journal of Clinical Research in Medical Sciences. 2026;1(1):1-10. Doi:10.67231/grams870.
37. Rajendran OK. Machine Learning-Based Prediction of Chemotherapy Toxicity in Colorectal Cancer: A Personalized Risk Stratification Approach. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(5.1):942-952. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20767359.
38. Rajendran LKK. Intelligent Omics-Driven Patient Stratification for Cancer Therapeutic Re-profiling. International Journal of Clinical Research in Medical Sciences. 2026;1(1):1-11. Doi:10.67231/gvshck05.
39. Rajendran OK. Federated radiology AI Models for multi-institutional cancer diagnosis Without data sharing. Power System Protection And Control. 2023;51(4):38-54. Doi:10.46121/pspc.51.4.5.
40. Kumar RMH. AI-augmented immuno-oncology: Foundation models for predicting immune response, resistance, and precision immunotherapy. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(2):193-208. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.2.18.
41. Rajendran OK. Deep Reinforcement Learning in Oncology: Advances in Cancer Imaging, Radiotherapy, and Personalized Treatment. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(5):597-606. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.20767337.

42. Rajendran OK. DEEP LEARNING FOR CROSS-MODALITY MAPPING BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGY AND RADIOLOGICAL IMAGING. Power System Protection and Control. 2025;53(3):313-328. Doi:10.46121/pspc.53.3.21.
43. Lu MY, Chen TY, Williamson DFK, et al. AI-based pathology predicts origins for cancers of unknown primary. Nature. 2021;594(7861):106–110. Doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03512-4.
44. Rajendran OK. Artificial Intelligence in Oncologic Imaging: Deep Learning, Radiomics, and Clinical Integration for Precision Cancer Diagnosis. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(50s):871-880. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.50s.92.
45. Bilal M, Raza SEA, Azam A, et al. Development and validation of a weakly supervised deep learning framework to predict the risk of colorectal cancer recurrence from histology images. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22(11):153–163. Doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00430-5.
46. Rajendran OK. DIGITAL TWIN FRAMEWORKS FOR PERSONALIZED CANCER PROGRESSION MODELING USING LONGITUDINAL DATA. Power System Protection and Control. 2025;53(4):486-501. Doi:10.46121/pspc.53.4.33.
47. Rajendran LKK. Genomic profiling: utilizing Multi-omics data to identify potential Therapeutic targets and resistance markers. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(4):159-166. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.4.13.
48. Rajendran OK. Artificial Intelligence–Driven Multimodal Imaging for Cancer During Pregnancy: Advances in Maternal–Fetal Diagnostics and Precision Oncology. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(50s):862-870. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.50s.91.
49. Rajendran LKK. Immunotherapy and cell Therapy: developing CAR-T cell therapies and Other immune-based treatments for cancer and Autoimmune diseases. Power System Protection and Control. 2023;51(2):64-77. Doi:10.46121/pspc.51.2.7.
50. Rajendran OK. FOUNDATION MODEL–DRIVEN PRECISION ONCOLOGY: INTEGRATING MULTI-OMICS, RADIOLOGY, AND CLINICAL DATA FOR PREDICTIVE CANCER CARE. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(2):154-163. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.2.14.
51. Rajendran LKK. Theranostics: integrating Diagnostic imaging agents and therapeutic Drugs into a single multifunctional nano-Platform for real-time monitoring of treatment. Power System Protection and Control.2025;53(2):376-386. Doi:10.46121/pspc.53.2.31.
52. Rajendran LKK. Mechanisms driving Immunotherapy resistance in colorectal cancer Liver metastases. Power System Protection and Control. 2024;52(1):29-37. Doi:10.46121/pspc.52.1.5.
53. Ching T, Himmelstein DS, Beaulieu-Jones BK, et al. Opportunities and obstacles for deep learning in biology and medicine. J R Soc Interface. 2018;15(141):20170387. Doi:10.1098/rsif.2017.0387.
54. Litjens G, Kooi T, Bejnordi BE, et al. A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis. Med Image Anal. 2017;42:60–88. Doi:10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005.
55. Hemanth Kumar RM. Integrated Transcriptomic and 3 Learning Framework Identifies a Blood-Based Biomarker Signature for Anthracycline-Induced Cardiotoxicity in Juvenile Cancer Survivors. Int J Drug Deliv Technol. 2026;16(40s):219-230. Doi:10.25258/ijddt.16.40s.24.
56. Mobadersany P, Yousefi S, Amgad M, et al. Predicting cancer outcomes from histology and genomics using convolutional networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115(13):E2970–E2979. Doi:10.1073/pnas.1717139115.
57. Lambin P, Leijenaar RTH, Deist TM, et al. Radiomics: the bridge between medical imaging and personalized medicine. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14(12):749–762. Doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.141.
58. Azizi S, Mustafa B, Ryan F, et al. Big self-supervised models advance medical image classification. Nature. 2021;594(7864):104–110. Doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03476-6.
59. Dosovitskiy A, Beyer L, Kolesnikov A, et al. An image is worth 16×16 words: transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv. 2020. Doi:10.48550/arXiv.2010.11929.
60. Rajendran OK. DeepDRA: A Deep Learning Framework for Drug Repurposing and Cancer Drug Response Prediction Using Multi-Omics Data. Scientific Culture. 2026;12(3):68-77. Doi:10.5281/zenodo.12326001.